

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS**

JOHN C. VOTTA, JR.
Plaintiff,

V.

MARTHA COAKLEY, et al.
Defendants

No. 04-10135-GAO

**DISTRICT ATTORNEY COAKLEY’S RESPONSE TO
PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Aqueduct & Sewer Authority v. Metcalf & Eddy, 506 U.S. 139, 145 (1993). Defendant thus requires a brief additional time to adequately present these issues to the court.

For these reasons, District Attorney Coakley respectfully suggests that Plaintiff's Motion to Amend should be allowed, and that the court should order that the time for District Attorney Coakley to respond to the amended complaint should be thirty days after it is, in fact, filed.

Respectfully submitted,
THOMAS F. REILLY
ATTORNEY GENERAL

/s/ David M. Lieber
David M. Lieber (BBO # 653841)
Assistant Attorney General
Criminal Bureau
One Ashburton Place
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
(617) 727-2200 ext. 2827

Dated: March 31, 2004

ATTORNEYS FOR
DISTRICT ATTORNEY COAKLEY